

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION**

MINOR MCNEIL

PLAINTIFF

VS.

4:19-CV-00104-BRW

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS FOUNDATION, *et al.*

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff filed a complaint “for forced labor in violation of Thirteenth Amendment; for violation of constitutionally protected rights under color of state law, and for common law conversion.”¹ His “First Amended Complaint Pleading Special Matters of Congressional Intent,” filed on February 25, 2019, mentions treason.² It appears to me that Plaintiff is upset that Defendants, his employers, are garnishing his wages pursuant to an IRS lien, as they are required to do. Plaintiff’s state-court case, with one less Defendant but involving the same issues, was dismissed with prejudice.³

For reasons including, but not limited to, failure to state a claim, *Rooker-Feldman*,⁴ and immunity, the Motions to Dismiss (Doc. Nos. 8, 13)⁵ are GRANTED. I decline to retain jurisdiction over the state law claims, assuming there are any. This case is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of March, 2019.

Billy Roy Wilson _____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Doc. No. 1.

²Doc. No. 12.

³See *McNeil v. Univ. of Arkansas Medical Sciences, et al.*, Case No. 60CV-18-6811.1 (Pulaski Co. Ark. 2018), Order filed January 24, 2019.

⁴Under *Rooker-Feldman*, federal courts, other than the United States Supreme Court, do not have subject matter jurisdiction to hear challenges to state court judgments. If a “federal claim succeeds only to the extent that the state court wrongly decided the issue before it,” the claim may not be heard by the federal court. *Lemons v. St. Louis County*, 222 F.3d 488, 492 (8th Cir. 2000).

⁵Plaintiff filed a response March 5, 2019 (Doc. No. 16).